

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DAT	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/751,441	01/06/2004	Bret K. Street	M4065.1005/P1005	2570	
24998	7590 03/2	2005	EXAM	EXAMINER	
	N SHAPIRO MO	WOJCIECHOWICZ, EDWARD JOSEPH			
2101 L Stree Washington	et, NW DC 20037		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2815		
			DATE MAILED: 03/24/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				Ar			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/751,441	STREET ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Edward Wojciechowicz	2815				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication apor Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
THE - External control	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. In SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a repoly within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT. e, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communicati NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ion.			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 L	December 2004.					
2a)□							
3)□	<u>-</u>						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)🖂	4) Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicat	tion Papers			•			
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyand	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing(s	i) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	I(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received. nts have been received in Ap	pplication No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	ority documents have been r	eceived in this National Stage				
	application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
*	See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not r	eceived.				
Attachme	nt(s)						
1) 🛛 Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		immary (PTO-413)				
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1, 17, 28, 30, 33, 34, and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Barton et al (6,744,109). The Barton reference shows an imaging device having a die (110) of imaging elements, a transparent element (2400 adhesively attached to the die, and an adhesive flow restriction area, such as groove (720) in Fig. 7, or stand off (330) in Fig. 3A, which would inherently serve to impede the flow of adhesive across the surface of the die. Barton also teaches either having the space around the imaging elements sealed, as is normally the case, or vented to the outside.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-16, 18-27, 29, 31 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barton in view of Tsai et al, of record, and Beyne et al (6,566,745). Tsai and Beyne show similar sealed structures that utilize an adhesive formed between a die and a cap structure, as claimed. These references are cited because they also teach several modifications to the flow restriction area with regard to the particular shape of the adhesive barrier. For example, as shown in Figures 4 through 6 of Tsai, the trench that serves to restrict the adhesive may have a rounded, rectangular or even pointed configuration, as claimed.

In addition, as shown in Fig. 7 of Barton, this trench, along with a second trench, may also be formed in the transparent cover, as well as on the first surface of the die. Similarly, the adhesive flow restriction area may also be formed by a protuberance, such as the stand off (330) in Barton or by the raised dam portion (23) shown in Fig. 2 of Beyne.

Beyne also shows another claimed features, such as the wire bonds being completely encapsulated by the adhesive, as shown in Fig. 3, a solder ball configuration for attaching the device to a circuit board or tape, and a vacant space left between the transparent cap and the imaging elements, shown in Fig. 3.

Art Unit: 2815

Taken together, these references teach all of the structural elements of claimed invention. One would be motivated to combine these features into a single device in order to insure that the adhesive residue is effectively prevented from contaminating the imaging area and degrading the device performance.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward Wojciechowicz whose telephone number is (571) 272-1739. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Thomas can be reached on (571) 272-1664. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Edward Wojciechowicz Primary Examiner Art Unit 2815

EW: ew